

# EMS SCHOOL

## Risk Theory and Related Topics

Bedlewo, Poland. september 29th- october 8th, 2008

# Credit Risk: Reduced Form Approach

Tomasz R. Bielecki, IIT, Chicago

Monique Jeanblanc, University of Evry

Marek Rutkowski, University of New South Wales, Sydney

In a financial market built on a filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , a default occurs at some random time  $\tau$ .

The filtration  $\mathbb{F}$  is called the reference filtration

## OUTLINE:

1. Hazard function approach
2. Hazard process approach
3. Hedging defaultable claims
4. Credit Default Swaps
5. Enlargement of filtration results

# HAZARD FUNCTION APPROACH

- Model for single default
- Several Defaults

# Model for single default

# Definition and Properties of the Hazard Function

## Set-up

- We assume that the only information available is the probability distribution of default time.
- Hence we do not take into account the uncertainty of conditional default probabilities.
- Formally, we assume that the reference filtration is trivial, or that the default time is independent of the reference filtration.
- This approach can also be used in the multi-name set-up.

## Random Time

- Let  $\tau$  be a non-negative random variable on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ , referred to as a **random time**.
- We assume that  $\mathbb{P}(\tau = 0) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}(\tau > t) > 0$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  so that the c.d.f.  $F$  satisfies, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$F(t) = \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq t) < 1.$$

This means that  $\tau$  is an unbounded random variable.

- We introduce the associated **default process**

$$H_t = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$$

and we write  $\mathbb{H} = (\mathcal{H}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$  to denote the filtration generated by  $H$ .

- Of course,  $\tau$  is an  **$\mathbb{H}$ -stopping time**, that is, the event  $\{\tau \leq t\}$  is in  $\mathcal{H}_t$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .



## Conditional Expectation

We shall assume throughout that all random variables and processes satisfy suitable integrability conditions.

**Lemma 1** *For any  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable random variable  $Y$  we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y | \mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y | \tau) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y)}{\mathbb{P}(\tau > t)}.$$

*For any  $\mathcal{H}_t$ -measurable random variable  $Y$  we have*

$$Y = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y | \tau) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y)}{\mathbb{P}(\tau > t)},$$

*that is,  $Y = h(\tau \wedge t)$  for some function  $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .*

## Hazard Function

- The notion of the **hazard function** of a random time  $\tau$  is closely related to the cumulative distribution function  $F$  of  $\tau$ .
- Recall that the c.d.f. of  $\tau$  equals

$$F(t) = \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

- Let  $G$  stand for the tail:  $G(t) = 1 - F(t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Definition 1** *The function  $\Gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  given by the formula*

$$\Gamma(t) = -\ln(1 - F(t)) = -\ln G(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

*is called the **hazard function** of a random time  $\tau$ .*

## Intensity of Default

- If the distribution function  $F$  is an **absolutely continuous function**, that is,

$$F(t) = \int_0^t f(u) du$$

for some function  $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  then we have

$$F(t) = 1 - e^{-\Gamma(t)} = 1 - e^{-\int_0^t \gamma(u) du}$$

where we denote

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)}.$$

- $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a non-negative function and  $\int_0^\infty \gamma(u) du = \infty$ .
- $\gamma$  is called the **intensity function** or the **hazard rate** of  $\tau$ .

## Conditional Expectations

### Corollary 1

- *In terms of the hazard function  $\Gamma$  of  $\tau$ , we have*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y | \mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y | \tau) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} e^{\Gamma(t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y).$$

- *If  $Y = h(\tau)$  for some function  $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(h(\tau) | \mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} h(\tau) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \int_t^{\infty} h(u) e^{\Gamma(t) - \Gamma(u)} d\Gamma(u).$$

- *If, in addition, the random time  $\tau$  has intensity  $\gamma$  then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(h(\tau) | \mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} h(\tau) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \int_t^{\infty} h(u) \gamma(u) e^{-\int_t^u \gamma(v) dv} du.$$

## Conditional Survival Probabilities

- For any  $t \leq T$ , the last formula yields

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{1}_{\tau > T} \mid \mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > T \mid \mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} e^{-\int_t^T \gamma(v) dv}.$$

In particular

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > T \mid \tau > t) = e^{-\int_t^T \gamma(v) dv}.$$

- We also have that

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau < T \mid \mathcal{H}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \left( 1 - e^{-\int_t^T \gamma(v) dv} \right)$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{P}(t < \tau < T \mid \tau > t) = 1 - e^{-\int_t^T \gamma(v) dv}.$$

## Interpretation of Intensity

- Let us observe that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau \in [t, t + dt] \mid \mathcal{H}_t\} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \gamma(t) dt$$

that is

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{P}\{\tau \in [t, t + h] \mid \tau > t\} = \gamma(t).$$

- Recall that

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau \in [t, t + dt]\} = f(t) dt.$$

and

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)}.$$

# Martingales

## Martingale $L$

A first martingale can be associated with any random time, that is, the c.d.f.  $F$  may be **discontinuous**.

**Proposition 1** *The process  $L$  given by the formula*

$$L_t = \frac{1 - H_t}{1 - F(t)} = (1 - H_t)e^{-\Gamma(t)}$$

*is an **H-martingale**:  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(L_s | \mathcal{H}_t) = L_t$  for  $s \geq t$ .*

## Martingale $M$

In the next result, the c.d.f.  $F$  of a random time  $\tau$  is assumed to be **continuous**.

### Proposition 2

- Assume that  $F$  (and thus also  $\Gamma$ ) is a continuous function. Then the process

$$M_t = H_t - \Gamma(t \wedge \tau) = H_t - \int_0^t (1 - H_s) \frac{dF(s)}{1 - F(s)}$$

is an  $\mathbb{H}$ -martingale.

- If a random time  $\tau$  admits the intensity function  $\gamma$  then the process

$$M_t = H_t - \int_0^{\tau \wedge t} \gamma(u) du$$

follows an  $\mathbb{H}$ -martingale.



## Martingale $M$

In the general case, the process

$$M_t = H_t - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \frac{dF(s)}{1 - F(s-)}$$

is an  $\mathbb{H}$ -martingale.

# Equivalent Probability Measure

## Change of a Probability Measure

- Let  $\mathbb{P}^*$  be any probability measure on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}_\infty)$ , which is **equivalent** to  $\mathbb{P}$ , that is: for any event  $A \in \mathcal{H}_\infty$  we have  $\mathbb{P}^*(A) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ .

Then there exists a function  $h : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(h(\tau)) = \int_0^\infty h(u) dF(u) = 1$$

and the Radon-Nikodým density of  $\mathbb{P}^*$  with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$  equals

$$\eta = \frac{d\mathbb{P}^*}{d\mathbb{P}} = h(\tau) > 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

- In the financial interpretation,  $\mathbb{P}$  is the **real-world probability** and  $\mathbb{P}^*$  is a **spot martingale measure** (pricing probability).

## Assumptions and Notation

- Assume that  $\mathbb{P}\{\tau = 0\} = 0$  and  $\mathbb{P}\{\tau > t\} > 0$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .
- Note that for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\mathbb{P}^*\{\tau > t\} = 1 - F^*(t) = \int_{(t, \infty)} h(u) dF(u) > 0$$

where  $F^*$  is the c.d.f. of  $\tau$  under  $\mathbb{P}^*$ . Equivalently

$$F^*(t) = \mathbb{P}^*\{\tau \leq t\} = \int_{(0, t]} h(u) dF(u).$$

- Let

$$g(t) = e^{\Gamma(t)} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} h(\tau)) = e^{\Gamma(t)} \int_{(t, \infty)} h(u) dF(u)$$

and let  $h^* : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be given by  $h^*(t) = h(t)g^{-1}(t)$ .

## Hazard Function under $\mathbb{P}^*$

- If  $F$  (and thus  $F^*$ ) is continuous then the hazard function  $\Gamma^*$  of  $\tau$  under  $\mathbb{P}^*$  satisfies

$$d\Gamma^*(t) = \frac{dF^*(t)}{1 - F^*(t)}$$

and thus

$$d\Gamma^*(t) = h^*(t) d\Gamma(t).$$

- Let us denote

$$\kappa(t) = h^*(t) - 1 = h(t)g^{-1}(t) - 1 > -1.$$

**Proposition 3** *Let  $\mathbb{P}^*$  and  $\mathbb{P}$  be two **equivalent** probabilities on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{H})$ . If the hazard function  $\Gamma$  of  $\tau$  under  $\mathbb{P}$  is continuous then the hazard function  $\Gamma^*$  of  $\tau$  under  $\mathbb{P}^*$  is continuous and*

$$d\Gamma^*(t) = (1 + \kappa(t)) d\Gamma(t)$$

*In case where the intensity exists  $\gamma^*(t) = (1 + \kappa(t))\gamma(t)$ .*

## Valuation of Defaultable Claims

A defaultable claim consists of:

- the **promised contingent claim**  $X$ , representing the payoff received by the owner of the claim at time  $T$ , if there was no default prior to or at time  $T$ ,
- the process  $A$  representing the **promised dividends** – that is, the stream of (continuous or discrete) cash flows received by the owner of the claim prior to default; we assume that  $A_0 = 0$ ,
- the **recovery process**  $Z$ , representing the recovery payoff at time of default, if default occurs prior to or at time  $T$ ,
- the **recovery claim**  $\tilde{X}$ , which represents the recovery payoff at time  $T$  if default occurs prior to or at the maturity date  $T$ .

## Dividend Process

- A defaultable claim can be represented as  $(X, A, \tilde{X}, Z, \tau)$ .
- The **dividend process**  $D$  of a defaultable claim  $(X, A, \tilde{X}, Z, \tau)$  equals

$$D_t = X^d(T) \mathbb{1}_{\{t \geq T\}} + \int_{(0,t]} (1 - H_u) dA_u + \int_{(0,t]} Z_u dH_u$$

or equivalently

$$D_t = X^d(T) \mathbb{1}_{\{t \geq T\}} + A_{\tau \wedge t} + Z_\tau \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}.$$

- The random variable

$$X^d(T) = X \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > T\}} + \tilde{X} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}}$$

represents the payoff occurring at maturity  $T$ .

## Ex-Dividend Price

**Definition 2** The *ex-dividend price*  $S$  of a defaultable claim  $(X, A, \tilde{X}, Z, \tau)$  which settles at time  $T$  is given as

$$S_t = B_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( \int_{(t,T]} B_u^{-1} dD_u \mid \mathcal{G}_t \right)$$

where  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  is the *spot martingale measure* for our model and  $B$  represents the savings account

$$B_t = \exp \left( \int_0^t r(u) du \right).$$

- This expression is known as the *risk-neutral valuation formula*.
- Note that  $S_T = 0$  and, in general, the value of  $S_t$  depends only on the future cash flows occurring after time  $t$ .

## Defaultable Bonds

We assume that

- the default time admits the intensity function  $\gamma^*$  under  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ ,
- the short-term interest rate  $r$  is deterministic.

In view of the latter assumption, the price at time  $t$  of the unit **default-free zero-coupon bond** (ZCB) of maturity  $T$  equals

$$B(t, T) = e^{-\int_t^T r(u) du}.$$

- A defaultable bond is an example of a defaultable claim with the promised payoff  $X = L$  where  $L$  is the face value of a bond.
- We assume no coupons so that  $A = 0$ .
- Hence we only need to specify the recovery value of a bond.



## Zero Recovery Scheme

- A corporate ZCB with **zero recovery** at default can be represented as a defaultable claim  $(L, 0, 0, 0, \tau)$ .
- Let  $D^0(t, T)$  be the price of a bond with zero recovery.
- It is easily seen that  $D^0(t, T) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \tilde{D}^0(t, T)$  for any  $t \in [0, T]$ .

**Lemma 2** *The **pre-default value**  $\tilde{D}^0(t, T)$  of such a bond equals (per unit of the face value  $L$ )*

$$\tilde{D}^0(t, T) = e^{-\int_t^T (r(v) + \gamma^*(v)) dv} = e^{-\int_t^T \tilde{r}(v) dv}$$

where  $\tilde{r} = r + \gamma^*$  is the **default-risk-adjusted interest rate**.

*Equivalently*

$$\tilde{D}^0(t, T) = B(t, T) e^{-\int_t^T \gamma^*(v) dv}.$$

## Fractional Recovery of Par Value – FRPV

Let  $Z_t = \delta L$  for some constant recovery rate  $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$ , so that the corporate bond is given as a defaultable claim  $(L, 0, 0, \delta L, \tau)$ .

**Lemma 3** *The pre-default value  $\tilde{D}^\delta(t, T)$  of this bond equals (per unit of the face value  $L$ )*

$$\tilde{D}^\delta(t, T) = \left( \delta \int_t^T e^{-\int_t^u \tilde{r}(v) dv} \gamma^*(u) du + e^{-\int_t^T \tilde{r}(v) dv} \right)$$

where  $\tilde{r} = r + \gamma^*$ . Equivalently

$$\tilde{D}^\delta(t, T) = \left( \delta \int_t^T \tilde{D}^0(t, u) \gamma^*(u) du + \tilde{D}^0(t, T) \right).$$

## Fractional Recovery of Treasury Value – FRTV

- Let  $Z_t = \delta LB(t, T)$  so that the corporate bond is given as a defaultable claim  $(L, 0, 0, \delta LB(t, T), \tau)$ .
- The price  $D^\delta(t, T)$  can be expressed as follows

$$D^\delta(t, T) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} B(t, T) \left( \delta \mathbb{Q}^*(t < \tau \leq T | \mathcal{H}_t) + \mathbb{Q}^*(\tau > T | \mathcal{H}_t) \right).$$

**Lemma 4** *The pre-default value  $\widehat{D}^\delta(t, T)$  equals*

$$\widehat{D}^\delta(t, T) = \left( \int_t^T \delta B(t, T) e^{-\int_t^u \gamma^*(v) dv} \gamma^*(u) du + e^{-\int_t^T \tilde{r}(v) dv} \right)$$

*that is*

$$\widehat{D}^\delta(t, T) = B(t, T) \left( \delta \left( 1 - e^{-\int_t^T \gamma^*(v) dv} \right) + e^{-\int_t^T \tilde{r}(v) dv} \right).$$

## Extensions

- Similar representations can be derived under the assumption that the **market risk** and the **credit risk** are independent. Specifically, we assume that
  - the default time admits the  $\mathbb{F}$ -intensity process  $\gamma^*$  under  $\mathbb{Q}^*$ ,
  - the short-term interest rate  $r$  follows a stochastic process independent of the filtration  $\mathbb{F}$ .
- Another popular convention regarding recovery at default is the **fractional recovery of the market value** scheme. Under this convention, the value of a corporate bond at default is equal to a fixed fraction of its pre-default value.

# Several Defaults

## General case

We assume that two default times are given:  $\tau_i, i = 1, 2$

We introduce the *joint survival process*  $G(u, v)$ : for every  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$G(u, v) = \mathbb{Q}(\tau_1 > u, \tau_2 > v)$$

We write

$$\partial_1 G(u, v) = \frac{\partial G}{\partial u}(u, v), \quad \partial_{12} G(u, v) = \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial u \partial v}(u, v).$$

We assume that the joint density  $f(u, v) = \partial_{12} G(u, v)$  exists. In other words, we postulate that  $G(u, v)$  can be represented as follows

$$G(u, v) = \int_u^\infty \left( \int_v^\infty f(x, y) dy \right) dx.$$

We compute conditional expectation in the filtration  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{H}^1 \vee \mathbb{H}^2$ :

For  $t < T$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}(T < \tau_1 | \mathcal{H}_t^1 \vee \mathcal{H}_t^2) &= \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau_1} \frac{\mathbb{P}(T < \tau_1 | \mathcal{H}_t^2)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_1 | \mathcal{H}_t^2)} \\
&= \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau_1} \left( \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau_2} \frac{\mathbb{P}(T < \tau_1, t < \tau_2)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_1, t < \tau_2)} + \mathbb{1}_{\tau_2 \leq t} \frac{\mathbb{P}(T < \tau_1 | \tau_2)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_1 | \tau_2)} \right) \\
&= \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau_1} \left( \mathbb{1}_{t < \tau_2} \frac{G(T, t)}{G(t, t)} + \mathbb{1}_{\tau_2 \leq t} \frac{\mathbb{P}(T < \tau_1 | \tau_2)}{\mathbb{P}(t < \tau_1 | \tau_2)} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

- The computation of  $\mathbb{P}(T < \tau_1 | \tau_2)$  can be done as follows:

$$\mathbb{P}(T < \tau_1 | \tau_2 = v) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(T < \tau_1, \tau_2 \in dv)}{\mathbb{P}(\tau_2 \in dv)} = \frac{\partial_2 G(T, v)}{\partial_2 G(0, v)}$$

hence, on the set  $\tau_2 < T$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(T < \tau_1 | \tau_2) = \frac{\partial_2 G(T, \tau_2)}{\partial_2 G(0, \tau_2)}$$



## Value of credit derivatives

We introduce different credit derivatives

A **defaultable zero-coupon** related to the default time  $\tau_i$  delivers 1 monetary unit if  $\tau_i$  is greater than  $T$ :  $D^i(t, T) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(\mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau_i\}} | \mathcal{H}_t^1 \vee \mathcal{H}_t^2)$

We obtain

$$D^1(t, T) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 > t\}} \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_2 \leq t\}} \frac{\partial_2 G(T, \tau_2)}{\partial_2 G(t, \tau_2)} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_2 > t\}} \frac{G(T, t)}{G(t, t)} \right)$$

A contract which pays  $R_1$  if one default occurs before  $T$  and  $R_2$  if the two defaults occur before  $T$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
CD_t &= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} (R_1 \mathbb{1}_{\{0 < \tau_{(1)} \leq T\}} + R_2 \mathbb{1}_{\{0 < \tau_{(2)} \leq T\}} | \mathcal{H}_t^1 \vee \mathcal{H}_t^2) \\
&= R_1 \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{(1)} > t\}} \left( \frac{G(t, t) - G(T, T)}{G(t, t)} \right) + R_2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{(2)} \leq t\}} + R_1 \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{(1)} \leq t\}} \\
&\quad + R_2 \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_{(2)} > t\}} \left\{ I_t(0, 1) \left( 1 - \frac{\partial_2 G(T, \tau_2)}{\partial_2 G(t, \tau_2)} \right) + I_t(1, 0) \left( 1 - \frac{\partial_1 G(\tau_1, T)}{\partial_1 G(\tau_1, t)} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + I_t(0, 0) \left( 1 - \frac{G(t, T) + G(T, t) - G(T, T)}{G(t, t)} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where by

$$\begin{aligned}
I_t(1, 1) &= \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 \leq t, \tau_2 \leq t\}} , & I_t(0, 0) &= \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 > t, \tau_2 > t\}} \\
I_t(1, 0) &= \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 \leq t, \tau_2 > t\}} , & I_t(0, 1) &= \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_1 > t, \tau_2 \leq t\}}
\end{aligned}$$

More generally, some easy computation leads to

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(h(\tau_1, \tau_2) | \mathcal{H}_t) = I_t(1, 1)h(\tau_1, \tau_2) + I_t(1, 0)\Psi_{1,0}(\tau_1) + I_t(0, 1)\Psi_{0,1}(\tau_2) + I_t(0, 0)\Psi_{0,0}$$

where

$$\Psi_{1,0}(u) = -\frac{1}{\partial_1 G(u, t)} \int_t^\infty h(u, v) \partial_1 G(u, dv)$$

$$\Psi_{0,1}(v) = -\frac{1}{\partial_2 G(t, v)} \int_t^\infty h(u, v) \partial_2 G(du, v)$$

$$\Psi_{0,0} = \frac{1}{G(t, t)} \int_t^\infty \int_t^\infty h(u, v) G(du, dv)$$

# Copula

## Copula Function

The concept of a **copula function** allows to produce various multidimensional probability distributions with the same univariate marginal probability distributions.

**Definition 3** *A function  $C : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a **copula function** if:*

- $C(1, \dots, 1, v_i, 1, \dots, 1) = v_i$  for any  $i$  and any  $v_i \in [0, 1]$ ,
- $C$  is an  $n$ -dimensional cumulative distribution function.

Examples of copulae:

- product copula:  $\Pi(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n v_i$ ,
- Gumbel copula: for  $\theta \in [1, \infty)$  we set

$$C(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \exp \left( - \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n (-\ln v_i)^\theta \right]^{1/\theta} \right).$$

## Sklar's Theorem

### Theorem 1

- For any cumulative distribution function  $F$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  there exists a *copula function*  $C$  such that

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = C(F_1(x_1), \dots, F_n(x_n))$$

where  $F_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  marginal cumulative distribution function.

If, in addition,  $F$  is continuous then  $C$  is unique.

- Conversely, if  $C$  is an  $n$ -dimensional copula and  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n$  are the distribution functions, then the function

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = C(F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2), \dots, F_n(x_n))$$

is a  $n$ -dimensional distribution function with marginals

$F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n$ .

## Survival Copula

- We can represent the joint survival function as some copula as well.

Since for standard uniform random variables  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n$ , the random variables  $\widetilde{U}_1 = 1 - U_1, \widetilde{U}_2 = 1 - U_2, \dots, \widetilde{U}_n = 1 - U_n$  are also uniform random variables.

- Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= \mathbb{P}(X_1 \geq x_1, X_2 \geq x_2, \dots, X_n \geq x_n) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(F_1(X_1) \geq F_1(x_1), \dots, F_n(X_n) \geq F_n(x_n)) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(1 - F_1(X_1) \leq 1 - F_1(x_1), \dots, 1 - F_n(X_n) \leq 1 - F_n(x_n)) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\widetilde{U}_1 \leq G_1(x_1), \widetilde{U}_2 \leq G_2(x_2), \dots, \widetilde{U}_n \leq G_n(x_n)) \\ &= \widetilde{C}(G_1(x_1), G_2(x_2), \dots, G_n(x_n)) \end{aligned}$$

## Gaussian Copula

- Gaussian copulae have become an industry standard for CDO and credit portfolio modelling, despite of several drawbacks.
- Assume that the marginal cumulative distribution functions  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n$  of default times  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  are known.
- The default times  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  are modelled from a **Gaussian vector**  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  with zero means, unit variances, and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ .
- Specifically,  $\tau_i = F_i^{-1}(\Phi(X_i))$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $F_i^{-1}$  denotes the generalized inverse of  $F_i$  and  $\Phi$  is the standard Gaussian distribution function, so that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_i \leq t) = \mathbb{P}(\Phi(X_i) \leq F_i(t)) = F_i(t)$$

## Multivariate Gaussian Copula

Let  $R$  be an  $n \times n$  symmetric, positive definite matrix with  $R_{ii} = 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and let  $\Phi_R$  be the standardized multivariate normal distribution with correlation matrix  $R$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |R|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}' R^{-1} \mathbf{x}\right).$$

**Definition 4** *The multivariate Gaussian copula  $C_R$  is defined as:*

$$C_R(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \Phi_R(\Phi^{-1}(u_1), \Phi^{-1}(u_2), \dots, \Phi^{-1}(u_n))$$

*where  $\Phi^{-1}(u)$  represents the inverse of the normal cumulative distribution function.*



## One-Factor Gaussian Copula

- A **one-factor Gaussian copula** is the multivariate Gaussian copula corresponding to the joint distribution of the vector  $(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  where

$$X_i = \rho_i V + \sqrt{1 - \rho_i^2} Y_i$$

where  $V$  and  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  are independent standard Gaussian random variables and  $0 \leq \rho_i \leq 1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

- Then we can get (recall that  $\tau_i = F_i^{-1}(\Phi(X_i))$ )

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_i \leq t | V) = \Phi \left( \frac{-\rho_i V + \Phi^{-1}(F_i(t))}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_i^2}} \right).$$

- The case  $\rho_1 = \dots = \rho_n = 0$  corresponds to independent defaults, whereas  $\rho_1 = \dots = \rho_n = 1$  represents the co-monotonic case.

## Default Times

- We assume that a default has occurred by time  $t$ , in case a non-decreasing function  $\chi_i$  has crossed the **trigger level**  $X_i$  prior to or at  $t$ .
- Formally, the default times are given by

$$\tau_i = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \chi_i(t) \geq X_i\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where  $\chi_i(t) = \Phi^{-1}(F_i(t))$  (and  $\mathbb{P}(\tau_i \leq t) = F_i(t)$ ).

- This construction of dependent default times  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  is referred to as the **one-factor copula model**.
- We shall now compare this approach with the intensity-based approach to correlated defaults.

## Comparison with Intensity-Based Model

- If  $F_{X_i}$  is a continuous function for every  $i$  then

$$\tau_i = \inf \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : F_{X_i}(\chi_i(t)) \geq F_{X_i}(X_i)\} = \inf \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : G_i(t) \leq \tilde{U}_i\}$$

where  $(\tilde{U}_1, \tilde{U}_2, \dots, \tilde{U}_n)$  with  $\tilde{U}_i = 1 - F_{X_i}(X_i)$  are random variables with uniform marginal distributions (not independent) and  $G_i(t) = 1 - F_{X_i}(\chi_i(t)) = 1 - \mathbb{P}\{\tau_i \leq t\}$ .

- This representation of the one-factor copula model allows for easy comparison with the intensity-based model in which

$$\tau_i = \inf \{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : G_t^i \leq U_i\}$$

where  $(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$  are independent uniformly distributed random variables and  $G^1, G^2, \dots, G^n$  are non-increasing default countdown processes (not independent, in general).

## Student $t$ Copula

- Let us denote  $V_i = \sqrt{W} X_i$  and  $X_i = \rho_i V + \sqrt{1 - \rho_i^2} Y_i$  where  $V, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  are independent  $N(0, 1)$  random variables.  $W$  is independent of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  and has the inverse gamma distribution with parameter  $\frac{\nu}{2}$ .
- Let  $t_\nu$  denote the c.d.f. of the Student  $t$  distribution with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom.
- We set  $\tau_i = F_i^{-1}(t_\nu(V_i))$ , so that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_i \leq t \mid V, W) = \Phi \left( \frac{-\rho_i V + W^{-\frac{1}{2}} t_\nu^{-1}(F_i(t))}{\sqrt{1 - \rho_i^2}} \right).$$

- The default times  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  are thus modelled from the vector  $(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n)$  with marginal distributions governed by a Student  $t$  distribution with  $\nu$  degrees of freedom.
- The Gaussian copula can be seen as the limit of Student  $t$  copulae when  $\nu$  tends to infinity.

## Archimedean Copulae

- Let  $f$  be the density of a positive random variable  $V$ , which is called the **mixing variable**, and let

$$\psi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sv} f(v) dv$$

be the Laplace transform of  $f$ . Let  $F_i$  be the c.d.f. of  $\tau_i$ .

- We define the function  $D_i$  as

$$D_i(t) = \exp \left( - \psi^{-1}(F_i(t)) \right).$$

- Then  $D_i$  and  $F_i$  satisfy

$$F_i(t) = \psi(-\ln D_i(t)) = \int_0^{\infty} (D_i(t))^v f(v) dv.$$

The function  $(D_i)^v$  is a c.d.f. for any  $v \geq 0$ .

## Archimedean Copulae

- The last formula shows that, conditionally on  $V = v$ , the cumulative distribution function of  $\tau_i$  is  $(D_i)^v$ .
- Now we can define the joint cumulative distribution function of default times  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  by

$$F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \mathbb{P}(\tau_1 \leq t_1, \tau_2 \leq t_2, \dots, \tau_n \leq t_n) = \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^n (D_i)^v(t_i) f(v) dv$$

so that for any  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_1 \leq t_1, \tau_2 \leq t_2, \dots, \tau_n \leq t_n | V = v) = \prod_{i=1}^n (D_i)^v(t_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}(\tau_i \leq t_i | V = v).$$

- The last equality shows that the default times are conditionally independent given  $V = v$ .

## Archimedean Copulae

- Since

$$(D_i)^v(t_i) = \exp(-v\psi^{-1}(F_i(t)))$$

we conclude that

$$F(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^n (D_i)^v(t_i) f(v) dv = \psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \psi^{-1}(F_i(t_i))\right)$$

- The copula of default times  $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$  defined above is given by

$$C(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = \psi(\psi^{-1}(u_1) + \psi^{-1}(u_2) + \dots + \psi^{-1}(u_n)).$$

- The function  $C$  is called an Archimedean copula with generator  $\phi = \psi^{-1}$ .

## Archimedean Copulae: Examples

- A standard example of an Archimedean copula is the [Clayton copula](#), where the mixing variable  $V$  has a Gamma distribution with parameter  $1/\theta$ , where  $\theta > 0$ .

- Hence we have

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1/\theta)} e^{-x} x^{(1-\theta)/\theta}$$

and  $\psi^{-1}(s) = s^{-\theta} - 1$  so that  $\psi(s) = (1 + s)^{-1/\theta}$ .

- Now we can find

$$C(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) = (u_1^{-\theta} + u_2^{-\theta} + \dots + u_n^{-\theta} - n + 1)^{-1/\theta}$$

and  $D_i(t) = \exp(1 - F_i(t)^{-\theta})$ .

- Another classic example of an Archimedean copula is the [Gumbel copula](#), which is generated by  $\psi(s) = \exp(-s^{1/\theta})$ .



## Lévy Copulae

Let  $X, Y^{(i)}$  be independent Lévy processes with same law and such that

$$\mathbb{E}(X_1) = 0, \text{Var}(X_1) = 1$$

We set  $X_i = X_\rho + Y_{1-\rho}^{(i)}$ .

By properties of Lévy processes,  $X_i$  has the same law as  $X_1$  and

$$\text{Cor}(X_i, X_j) = \rho$$

# HAZARD PROCESS APPROACH

- Model for single default
- Intensity approach
- Several Defaults

# Model for single default

# Properties of the Hazard Process

## Hazard Process of a Random Time

- Let  $\tau$  be a non-negative random variable on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{P})$ . We set  $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{H}_t \vee \mathcal{F}_t$  for some **reference filtration**  $\mathbb{F}$ .
- We shall write  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{H} \vee \mathbb{F}$  to denote the **full filtration**.
- We denote  $F_t = \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq t | \mathcal{F}_t)$ , so that

$$G_t = 1 - F_t = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t)$$

is the **conditional survival probability**.

- It is easily seen that  $F$  is a bounded, non-negative,  $\mathbb{F}$ -submartingale.

**Definition 5** *Assume that  $F_t < 1$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then the  **$\mathbb{F}$ -hazard process**  $\Gamma$  of  $\tau$  is defined through the equality  $1 - F_t = e^{-\Gamma_t}$ .*

## Properties of the Hazard Process

- Let  $F_t = m_t + A_t$  be the Doob-Meyer decomposition of the sub-martingale  $F_t$ .
- Assuming that  $F$  is continuous, the process

$$M_t = H_t - \int_0^t (1 - H_s) \frac{dA_s}{1 - F_s} = H_t - \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau}$$

is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -martingale.

- If  $F$  (hence  $\Gamma$ ) is continuous and increasing, the process  $M_t = H_t - \Gamma_{t \wedge \tau}$  is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -martingale.
- The multiplicative decomposition of the supermartingale  $G$  is

$$G_t = n_t e^{-\Lambda_t}$$

## Conditional Expectations

- For any  $\mathcal{G}$ -measurable random variable  $Y$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \frac{\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y \mid \mathcal{F}_t)}{\mathbb{P}(\tau > t \mid \mathcal{F}_t)}.$$

- If, in addition,  $Y$  is  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable for  $s \geq t$  then then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} Y \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(Y e^{\Gamma_t - \Gamma_s} \mid \mathcal{F}_t).$$

- Let  $\Gamma$  be a continuous process and let  $Z$  be an  $\mathbb{F}$ -predictable process. Then for any  $t \leq s$  we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}(Z_{\tau} \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau \leq s\}} \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}\left(\int_t^s Z_u e^{\Gamma_t - \Gamma_u} d\Gamma_u \mid \mathcal{F}_t\right).$$

## Interpretation of the Hazard Process

- We now restrict our attention to the case where  $\Gamma$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted, increasing, continuous process.
- If  $\Gamma_t = \int_0^t \gamma_u du$  then  $\gamma$  represents the  $\mathbb{F}$ -intensity of  $\tau$ .
- Intuitively

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau \in [t, t + dt] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{H}_t\} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \gamma_t dt$$

that is

$$\mathbb{P}\{\tau \in [t, t + dt] \mid \mathcal{F}_t \vee \{\tau > t\}\} = \gamma_t dt.$$

## Canonical Construction

- Let  $\Gamma$  be an  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted, increasing, continuous processes, defined on a probability space  $(\widehat{\Omega}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We assume that  $\Gamma_0 = 0$  and  $\Gamma_\infty = \infty$ .
- Let  $(\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{P}})$  be an auxiliary probability space with a random variable  $U$  uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ . Hence  $\zeta = -\ln U$  has the unit exponential probability distribution
- We set, on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}) = (\widehat{\Omega} \times, \widehat{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\mathbb{P}} \times \widetilde{\mathbb{P}})$

$$\tau = \inf \{ t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \Gamma_t(\widehat{\omega}) \geq -\ln U(\widetilde{\omega}) \}$$

- The random variable  $U$  is independent of the hazard process  $\Gamma$ .
- Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_t) = \exp(-\Gamma_t) = \mathbb{P}(\tau > t | \mathcal{F}_\infty)$$

- In that model, any  $\mathbb{F}$ -martingale in a  $\mathbb{G}$ -martingale.



## Valuation of Defaultable Claims

- In order to value a defaultable claim we need also to specify a discount factor (for instance, the savings account).
- Here we have assumed that  $B = 1$ , that is,  $r = 0$ .

### Valuation of the Terminal Payoff

To value the **terminal payoff** we shall use the following result.

#### Proposition 4

*If  $\gamma^*$  is the default intensity under  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} Y \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(Y e^{-\int_t^s \gamma_u^* du} \mid \mathcal{F}_t).$$

## Valuation of Recovery Process

The following result appears to be useful in the valuation of the recovery payoff  $Z_\tau$  which occurs at time  $\tau$ .

**Proposition 5** *If  $\gamma^*$  is the default intensity under  $\mathbb{Q}^*$  then*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(Z_\tau \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau \leq s\}} \mid \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( \int_t^s Z_u e^{-\int_t^u \gamma_v^* dv} \gamma_u^* du \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right).$$

## Valuation of Promised Dividends

To value the **promised dividends**  $A$  that are paid prior to  $\tau$  we shall make use of the following result.

**Proposition 6** *Assume that  $\Gamma^*$  is a **continuous process** and let  $A$  be an  $\mathbb{F}$ -predictable bounded process of finite variation. Then for every  $t \leq s$*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( \int_{(t,s]} (1 - H_u) dA_u \mid \mathcal{G}_t \right) = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( \int_{(t,s]} e^{\Gamma_t^* - \Gamma_u^*} dA_u \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right).$$

# Intensity approach

In intensity based models, the default time  $\tau$  is a stopping time in a given filtration  $\mathbb{G}$ , representing the full information of the market.

## Definition of the intensity process

- The process  $(H_t = \mathbb{1}_{\tau \leq t}, t \geq 0)$  is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -adapted increasing càdlàg process, hence a  $\mathbb{G}$ -submartingale, and there exists a unique  $\mathbb{G}$ -predictable increasing process  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{G}}$ , called the  $\mathbb{G}$ -compensator, such that the process

$$M_t = H_t - \Lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}}$$

is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -martingale.

- The compensator satisfies  $\Lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau}^{\mathbb{G}}$ .
- The process  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{G}}$  is continuous if and only if  $\tau$  is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -totally inaccessible stopping time.

- In intensity based models, it is generally assumed that  $\Lambda^{\mathbb{G}}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, i.e., that there exists a non-negative  $\mathbb{G}$ -adapted process  $(\lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}}, t \geq 0)$  such that

$$M_t = H_t - \int_0^t \lambda_s^{\mathbb{G}} ds$$

is a  $\mathbb{G}$ -martingale.

- This process  $\lambda^{\mathbb{G}}$  is called the  $\mathbb{G}$ -intensity rate and vanishes after time  $\tau$ , i.e.,

$$M_t = H_t - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} \lambda_s^{\mathbb{G}} ds = H_t - \int_0^t (1 - H_s) \lambda_s^{\mathbb{G}} ds.$$

- One gets, under some regularity assumption,

$$\lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{P}(t < \tau \leq t + h | \mathcal{G}_t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \mathbb{P}(\tau \leq t + h | \mathcal{G}_t),$$

when the limit (a.s.) exists.

## Pricing rule for conditional claims

For  $X \in \mathcal{G}_T$ , integrable,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(X \mathbb{1}_{T < \tau} | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} (V_t - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(\Delta V_\tau \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau \leq T\}} | \mathcal{G}_t))$$

where the process  $V$  is defined by:

$$V_t = e^{\Lambda_t^{\mathbb{G}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(X e^{-\Lambda_T^{\mathbb{G}}} | \mathcal{G}_t) = e^{\Lambda_{t \wedge \tau}^{\mathbb{G}}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(X e^{-\Lambda_{T \wedge \tau}^{\mathbb{G}}} | \mathcal{G}_t).$$

and where  $\Delta V_\tau$  denotes the jump of  $V$  at  $\tau$ , i.e.,  $\Delta V_\tau = V_\tau - V_{\tau-}$ .

Using the intensity rate, the pricing rule becomes:

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(X \mathbb{1}_{T < \tau} | \mathcal{G}_t) = \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( X e^{-\int_t^T \lambda_s^{\mathbb{G}} ds} \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(\mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau \leq T\}} \Delta V_\tau | \mathcal{G}_t).$$



Proof: Apply the integration by parts formula to the product  $U = VL$  (remark  $U_T = \mathbb{1}_{\{T < \tau\}} X$ ), with  $L_t = 1 - H_t$

$$dU_t = (\Delta V_\tau) dL_t + (L_{t-} dm_t - V_{t-} dM_t),$$

(where  $dm_t = e^{\Lambda t} dY_t$ , for  $Y_t = e^{-\Lambda t} V_t$ ), which yields to  $U_t = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*}(\mathbb{1}_{t < \tau \leq T} \Delta V_\tau + U_T | \mathcal{G}_t)$ .

For example, whereas the price of a zero-coupon bond writes (if  $\beta_t = \exp\left(-\int_0^t r_s ds\right)$  denotes the savings account):

$$B(t, T) = \beta_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_T} \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right),$$

the price of a defaultable zero-coupon bond with no recovery and notional 1 is:

$$\begin{aligned} D(t, T) &= \beta_t \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( \frac{\mathbb{1}_{T < \tau}}{\beta_T} \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right) \\ &= \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( e^{-\int_t^T (r_s + \lambda_s^G) ds} \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \tau \leq T\}} \Delta V_\tau^D \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_t^D = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( \exp - \int_t^{\tau \wedge T} \lambda_s ds \middle| \mathcal{G}_t \right)$ .

# Several Defaults

# Conditionally Independent Defaults

## Canonical Construction

- Let  $\Gamma^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  be a given family of  $\mathbb{F}$ -adapted, increasing, continuous processes, defined on a probability space  $(\widehat{\Omega}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , with  $\Gamma_0^i = 0$  and  $\Gamma_\infty^i = \infty$ .
- Let  $(\widetilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{P}})$  be an auxiliary probability space with  $U_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  mutually independent r.v.'s uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$ .
- We set

$$\tau_i = \inf \{ t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \Gamma_t^i(\widehat{\omega}) \geq -\ln U_i(\widetilde{\omega}) \}$$

on the product space

$$(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Q}) = (\widehat{\Omega} \times \widetilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{F}_\infty \otimes \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbb{P} \otimes \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}).$$

- We endow the space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Q})$  with the **full filtration**  $\mathbb{G}$  given as

$$\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{F} \vee \mathbb{H}^1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{H}^n.$$

## Conditional Independence

- Default times  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  defined in this way are **conditionally independent** with respect to  $\mathbb{F}$  under  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- This means that we have, for any  $t > 0$  and any  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, t]$ ,

$$\mathbb{Q}\{\tau_1 > t_1, \dots, \tau_n > t_n \mid \mathcal{F}_t\} = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Q}\{\tau_i > t_i \mid \mathcal{F}_t\}.$$

- The process  $\Gamma^i$  is the  $\mathbb{F}$ -**hazard process** of  $\tau_i$ , for any  $s \geq t$ ,

$$\mathbb{Q}\{\tau_i > s \mid \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{H}_t^i\} = \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_i > t\}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(e^{\Gamma_t^i - \Gamma_s^i} \mid \mathcal{F}_t).$$

- We have  $\mathbb{Q}\{\tau_i = \tau_j\} = 0$  for every  $i \neq j$  (no simultaneous defaults).

## Interpretation of Conditional Independence

- Intuitive meaning of conditional independence:
  - the reference credits (credit names) are subject to **common risk factors** that may trigger credit (default) events,
  - in addition, each credit name is subject to **idiosyncratic risks** that are specific for this name.
- Conditional independence of default times means that once the common risk factors are fixed then the idiosyncratic risk factors are independent of each other.
- Conditional independence is not invariant with respect to an equivalent change of a probability measure.

## Correlated Stochastic Intensities

- Let the process for the **default intensity** of name  $i$  be given by

$$\gamma_t^i = \rho_i h_0(t) + h_i(t)$$

where

$$h_0(t) = h_0(\tilde{X}_t^0)$$

and for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

$$h_j(t) = h_i(\tilde{X}_t^i)$$

- The processes  $\tilde{X}^0, \tilde{X}^1, \dots, \tilde{X}^n$  are independent components of the factor process  $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}^0, \tilde{X}^1, \dots, \tilde{X}^n)$ .
- Then the process  $h_0$  is referred to as the **common intensity factor**, and the processes  $h_i$  are called **idiosyncratic intensity factors**, since they only affect the credit worthiness of a single obligor.

## Examples of Stochastic Intensities

- We can postulate that

$$\gamma_t^i = \tilde{\rho}_i h_0(t) + h_i(t)$$

- where  $h_i$  follows Vasicek's dynamics

$$dh_i(t) = \kappa_i(\theta_i - h_i(t)) dt + \sigma_i dW_t^i$$

- or better, the CIR dynamics

$$dh_i(t) = \kappa_i(\theta_i - h_i(t)) dt + \sigma_i \sqrt{h_i(t)} dW_t^i.$$

- Note that we do not assume that  $\tilde{\rho}_i$  belongs to  $[-1, 1]$ .



## Combined Approach

- We adopt the intensity-based approach, but we no longer assume that the random variables  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are independent.
- Assume that the c.d.f. of  $(U_1, \dots, U_n)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional copula  $C$ .
- Then the univariate marginal laws are uniform on  $[0, 1]$ , but the random variables  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  are not necessarily mutually independent.
- We still postulate that they are independent of  $\mathbb{F}$ , and we set

$$\tau_i = \inf \{ t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \Gamma_t^i(\widehat{\omega}) \geq -\ln U_i(\widetilde{\omega}) \}.$$

*If we drop independence condition, then immersion property does not hold and  $\Gamma$  is not increasing*

## Combined Approach

- The case of default times conditionally independent with respect to  $\mathbb{F}$  corresponds to the choice of the product copula  $\Pi$ .
- In this case, for  $t_1, \dots, t_n \leq T$  we have

$$\mathbb{Q}^* \{ \tau_1 > t_1, \dots, \tau_n > t_n \mid \mathcal{F}_T \} = \Pi(G_{t_1}^1, \dots, G_{t_n}^n)$$

where we set  $G_t^i = e^{-\Gamma_t^i}$ .

- In general, for  $t_1, \dots, t_n \leq T$  we obtain

$$\mathbb{Q}^* \{ \tau_1 > t_1, \dots, \tau_n > t_n \mid \mathcal{F}_T \} = C(G_{t_1}^1, \dots, G_{t_n}^n)$$

where  $C$  is the copula function that was used in the construction of  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ .

## Survival Intensities

- Schönbucher and Schubert (2001) show that for arbitrary  $s \leq t$ , on the event  $\{\tau_1 > s, \dots, \tau_n > s\}$ ,

$$\mathbb{Q}^* \{\tau_i > t \mid \mathcal{G}_s\} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}^*} \left( \frac{C(G_s^1, \dots, G_t^i, \dots, G_{t_n}^n)}{C(G_s^1, \dots, G_s^n)} \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right).$$

- Consequently, the  $i^{\text{th}}$  intensity of survival equals, on  $\{\tau_1 > t, \dots, \tau_n > t\}$ ,

$$\lambda_t^i = \gamma_t^i G_t^i \frac{\partial}{\partial v_i} \ln C(G_t^1, \dots, G_t^n).$$

Here  $\lambda_t^i$  is understood as the limit

$$\lambda_t^i = \lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1} \mathbb{Q}^* \{t < \tau_i \leq t + h \mid \mathcal{F}_t, \tau_1 > t, \dots, \tau_n > t\}.$$

## Double Correlation

- We can postulate that

$$\gamma_t^i = \tilde{\rho}_i h_0(t) + h_i(t)$$

where  $h_i$  are governed by Vasicek's dynamics

$$dh_i(t) = \kappa_i(\theta_i - h_i(t)) dt + \sigma_i dW_t^i,$$

or by CIR dynamics

$$dh_i(t) = \kappa_i(\theta_i - h_i(t)) dt + \sigma_i \sqrt{h_i(t)} dW_t^i.$$

- We can combine this with the one-factor Gaussian copula for  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ .
- The first case was studied by Van der Voort (2004) in the context of basket CDSs and CDOs. The effect of **intensity correlation** is much smaller than the effect of the **default correlation**.