

# Sums of Squares in Leavitt Path $*$ -Algebras and beyond

Matthias Schötz, IMPAN

07.07.2023

# Overview

- Definition of ordered  $*$ -algebras and the grand unified problem
- The uniform norm and the Archimedean Positivstellensatz
- Closed ordered  $*$ -algebras and the generalized Gelfand–Naimark Theorem

# Ordered $*$ -Algebras

## Definition

A  $*$ -algebra is a *unital* associative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  over the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  endowed with an antilinear involution  $\cdot^* : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  that fulfils  $(ab)^* = b^* a^*$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .

$$\mathcal{A}_h := \{ a \in \mathcal{A} \mid a = a^* \}$$

is the real linear subspace of *hermitian* elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

# Ordered \*-Algebras

## Definition

- A *quadratic module* on a \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subset  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_h$  fulfilling

$$q + r \in \mathcal{Q}, \quad a^* q a \in \mathcal{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{Q}$$

for all  $q, r \in \mathcal{Q}, a \in \mathcal{A}$ . The *support \*-ideal* of  $\mathcal{Q}$  is

$$\text{supp } \mathcal{Q} := (\mathcal{Q} \cap (-\mathcal{Q})) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = (\mathcal{Q} \cap (-\mathcal{Q})) + i(\mathcal{Q} \cap (-\mathcal{Q}))$$

- An *ordered \*-algebra* is a \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with a partial order  $\leq$  (reflexive, transitive, and antisymmetric relation) on  $\mathcal{A}_h$  such that

$$b + d \leq c + d, \quad a^* b a \leq a^* c a \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq \mathbb{1}$$

hold for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $b, c, d \in \mathcal{A}_h$  with  $b \leq c$ . Then the *positive hermitian elements*

$$\mathcal{A}_h^+ := \{a \in \mathcal{A}_h \mid 0 \leq a\}$$

are a quadratic module and  $\text{supp } \mathcal{A}_h^+ = \{0\}$ .

- Conversely,  $\mathcal{A}/\text{supp } \mathcal{Q}$  with  $[a] \leq [b] \iff b - a \in \mathcal{Q}$  is ordered \*-algebra.

## Example: $C^*$ -algebras

### Proposition (unique order on $C^*$ -algebras)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra, then there is a unique partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{A}_h$  that turns  $\mathcal{A}$  into an ordered  $*$ -algebra. This order is determined by

$$\mathcal{A}_h^+ := \{ a \in \mathcal{A}_h \mid \text{spec}(a) \subseteq [0, \infty[ \} = \{ a^* a \mid a \in \mathcal{A} \} = \{ a^2 \mid a \in \mathcal{A}_h \}. (*)$$

### Proof

$\mathcal{Q}$  is q.m. means:  $q + r \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $a^* q a \in \mathcal{Q}$  for all  $q, r \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

- $(*)$  defines quadratic module  $\mathcal{A}_h^+$  and  $\text{supp } \mathcal{A}_h^+ = \{0\}$ : standard.
- If  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_h$  is a quadratic module and  $\text{supp } \mathcal{Q} = \{0\}$ , then  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{A}_h^+$ :

“ $\supseteq$ ”: Given  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$ , then  $a = \sqrt{a} \mathbb{1} \sqrt{a} \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

“ $\subseteq$ ”: Given  $a \in \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $a = a_+ - a_-$  with  $a_+, a_- \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$ ,  $a_+ a_- = a_- a_+ = 0$ . From  $-(a_-)^3 = a_- a a_- \in \mathcal{Q}$  and  $(a_-)^3 \in \mathcal{A}_h^+ \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$  it follows that  $(a_-)^3 = 0$ , therefore  $a_- = 0$  and  $a = a_+ \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$ .

### Corollary

Every  $C^*$ -norm on a  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  turns  $\mathcal{A}$  into an ordered  $*$ -algebra.

## Constructing quadratic modules – order from \*-representations

### Ordered \*-algebras of functions

$X$  a set,  $\mathbb{C}^X$  the \*-algebra of complex-valued functions on  $X$  with pointwise operations and pointwise order.

Then  $(\mathbb{C}^X)_h$  are the  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued functions,  $(\mathbb{C}^X)_h^+$  the  $[0, \infty[$ -valued functions.

### Ordered \*-algebras of operators ( $\mathcal{O}^*$ -algebras)

$\mathcal{D}$  a pre-Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle: \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$  the \*-algebra of *adjointable endomorphisms* of  $\mathcal{D}$ , i.e. of linear maps  $a: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that there exists linear  $a^*: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  fulfilling

$$\langle \phi | a(\psi) \rangle = \langle a^*(\phi) | \psi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})_h = \{ a \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D}) \mid \langle \psi | a(\psi) \rangle \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D} \}$$

and  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$  becomes an ordered \*-algebra with the operator order on  $\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})_h$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})_h^+ = \{ a \in \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D}) \mid \langle \psi | a(\psi) \rangle \in [0, \infty[ \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D} \}.$$

## Constructing quadratic modules – order from generators

### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a \*-algebra, then

$$\mathcal{A}_h^{++} := \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^* a_n \mid N \in \mathbb{N}_0; a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathcal{A} \right\}$$

are the *sums of hermitian squares*.

$\mathcal{A}_h^{++}$  is the smallest quadratic module of a \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .

### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a \*-algebra and  $G \subseteq \mathcal{A}_h$ , then

$$\langle\langle G \rangle\rangle := \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^N a_n^* g_n a_n \mid N \in \mathbb{N}_0; a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathcal{A}; g_1, \dots, g_N \in G \cup \{1\} \right\}$$

is the *quadratic module generated by  $G$* .

Note: If  $+g, -g \in S$ , then  $g \in \text{supp} \langle\langle G \rangle\rangle$ .

## Examples (commutative)

### Polynomials

- $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$   $*$ -algebra of polynomials in hermitian variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ .
- Consider  $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{\text{h}} = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .
- Set  $\mathcal{P}(G) := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid g(\xi) \geq 0 \text{ for all } g \in G \}$ .
- How is  $\langle\langle G \rangle\rangle$  related to polynomials pointwise positive on  $\mathcal{P}(G)$ ?

### Polynomials on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ via symmetry reduction

- $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_0, \dots, \bar{z}_n]$   $*$ -algebra of polynomials and  $z_i^* := \bar{z}_i$ .
- $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_0, \dots, \bar{z}_n]^{U(1)}$   $*$ -subalgebra of  $U(1)$ -invariant functions.
- Momentum map  $\mathcal{J} := z_0 \bar{z}_0 + \dots + z_n \bar{z}_n \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n]^{U(1)}$ ,  
 and  $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{\mu\}) \cong \mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$  for  $\mu > 0$ .
- How is  $\langle\langle \{\mathcal{J} - \mu, \mu - \mathcal{J}\} \rangle\rangle$  related to  $U(1)$ -invariant polynomials pointwise positive on  $\mathcal{J}^{-1}(\{\mu\})$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ?



## Example (non-commutative)

### Berezin quantization of $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ , but via symmetry reduction

- Weyl  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{W}(n) := \langle a_0, \dots, a_n \mid a_i a_j = a_j a_i, a_i a_j^* - a_j^* a_i = \delta_{ij} \hbar \rangle$ ,  $\hbar > 0$ .
- $\mathcal{W}(n)^{U(1)}$  the  $U(1)$ -invariant elements ( $\#$ creators =  $\#$ annihilators).
- Momentum map  $\mathcal{J} := a_0 a_0^* + \dots + a_n a_n^* \in \mathcal{W}(n)^{U(1)}$ .
- How is  $\langle\langle \{\mathcal{J} - \mu, \mu - \mathcal{J}\} \rangle\rangle$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ , related to  $U(1)$ -invariant elements positive in representations  $\pi_\mu: \mathcal{W}(n)^{U(1)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D}_\mu)$  of the Berezin quantization of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ ?
  - $\mu = \hbar k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ :  
 $\mathcal{D}_\mu$  are holomorphic sections of a complex line bundle over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ .
  - Otherwise:  $\mathcal{D}_\mu = \{0\}$ .

## Another Example (non-commutative)

### Leavitt path algebras

- Consider a directed graph  $G := (E_0, E_1, r: E_1 \rightarrow E_0, s: E_1 \rightarrow E_0)$ ,  $E_0$  finite.
- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the  $*$ -algebra freely generated by:  
 hermitian elements  $\{p_v \mid v \in E_0\}$  and arbitrary elements  $\{s_e \mid e \in E_1\}$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the quadratic module implementing the Cuntz–Krieger relations, namely

$$\left\langle \left\langle \begin{aligned} &\{ \pm(p_v p_w - \delta_{v,w} p_v) \mid v, w \in E_0 \} \cup \{ \pm(s_e^* s_f - \delta_{e,f} p_{r(e)}) \mid e, f \in E_1 \} \\ &\cup \{ \pm(p_v - \sum_{s(e)=v} s_e s_e^*) \mid v \in E_0 \text{ regular} \} \cup \{ p_{s(e)} - s_e s_e^* \mid e \in E_1 \} \end{aligned} \right\rangle \right\rangle$$

- There is a canonical map  $\Phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow C^*(G)$  in the corresponding graph  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$ .
- How is  $\mathcal{Q}$  related to  $\Phi^{-1}(C^*(G)_h^+)$ ?

# The grand unified problem

## Definition

- A *positive  $*$ -representation* of an ordered  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*(\mathcal{D})$  to the  $*$ -algebra of adjointable endomorphisms on a pre-Hilbert space  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\langle \phi | \pi(a)(\phi) \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$ ,  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ .
- Such a positive  $*$ -representation is called *bounded* if  $\mathcal{D}$  is complete, i.e.  $\mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{H}$  a Hilbert space (cf. Hellinger–Toeplitz theorem).

## The Problem

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an ordered  $*$ -algebra (typically  $\mathcal{A}_h^+ = \langle\langle G \rangle\rangle$  for some  $G \subseteq \mathcal{A}_h$ ). Define

$$\mathcal{Q} := \{ a \in \mathcal{A}_h \mid \langle \phi | \pi(a)(\phi) \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{H}), \phi \in \mathfrak{H} \},$$

$\pi$  bounded positive  $*$ -representations. Clearly  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a quadratic module of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$\rightsquigarrow$  How are  $\mathcal{A}_h^+$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  related? Certainly  $\mathcal{A}_h^+ \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$ , but conversely?

## The uniform norm

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an ordered  $*$ -algebra, then define the map  $\|\cdot\|_\infty: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ,

$$a \mapsto \|a\|_\infty := \inf \{ \lambda \in [0, \infty] \mid a^*a \leq \lambda^2 \}.$$

The set of *infinitesimal elements* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{bd}} := \{ a \in \mathcal{A} \mid \|a\|_\infty = 0 \},$$

and the set of *uniformly bounded elements* of  $\mathcal{A}$  as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{bd}} := \{ a \in \mathcal{A} \mid \|a\|_\infty < \infty \}.$$

The ordered  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *uniformly bounded* if  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\text{bd}}$ .

## Cimprič [1]; Schmüdgen [10], ...; part I

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an ordered  $*$ -algebra.

- The uniformly bounded elements  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{bd}}$  form a unital  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- The infinitesimal elements  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{bd}}$  form a  $*$ -ideal of  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{bd}}$ .
- The map  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  descends to a  $C^*$ -norm on  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{bd}}/\mathcal{I}_{\text{bd}}$ .

## Which ordered \*-algebras are uniformly bounded?

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a uniformly bounded ordered \*-algebras, then all its positive \*-representations are uniformly bounded! But conversely...?

### A pathological example

Set  $g_1 := 2x_1 - 1, g_2 := 2x_2 - 1, g_3 := 1 - x_1x_2 \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]_h$  and consider the set  $\mathcal{P}(\{g_1, g_2, g_3\}) = \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid g_i(\xi) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is compact but  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$  with  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]_h^+ := \langle\langle \{g_1, g_2, g_3\} \rangle\rangle$  is not uniformly bounded (see [2, p. 146]).

### Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz, part I

Consider any finite set  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_h$  and let  $G$  be the set of all finite products of  $g_1, \dots, g_k$ . If  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is compact, then  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_h^+ := \langle\langle G \rangle\rangle$  is uniformly bounded.

### Schmüdgen, S. (2023)

Consider any set of real polynomials of degree 1 and let  $G$  be the set of all their finite products. If  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is compact and non-empty, then  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_h^+ := \langle\langle G \rangle\rangle$  is uniformly bounded.

## Which ordered $*$ -algebras are uniformly bounded?

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a uniformly bounded ordered  $*$ -algebras, then all its positive  $*$ -representations are uniformly bounded! But conversely...?

### Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz, part I

Consider any finite set  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_h$  and let  $G$  be the set of all finite products of  $g_1, \dots, g_k$ .

If  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is compact, then  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_h^+ := \langle\langle G \rangle\rangle$  is uniformly bounded.

### Schmüdgen, S. [9]

Consider any set of real polynomials of degree 1 and let  $G$  be the set of all their finite products.

If  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is compact and non-empty, then  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_h^+ := \langle\langle G \rangle\rangle$  is uniformly bounded.

### Another pathological example

Set  $G := \{x - n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x]_h$ .

Then  $\mathcal{P}(G) = \emptyset$ , but  $\langle\langle G \rangle\rangle = \{p \in \mathbb{C}[x]_h \mid p(+\infty) \geq 0\}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  with  $\mathbb{C}[x]_h^+ := \langle\langle G \rangle\rangle$  is not uniformly bounded.

## Which ordered $*$ -algebras are uniformly bounded?

As  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{bd}}$  is a unital  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ , uniform boundedness of a generating subset is sufficient!

- $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  via symmetry reduction:

$\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_0, \dots, \bar{z}_n]^{U(1)}$  is generated by  $z_i \bar{z}_j$ ,  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ .

Recall:  $\mathcal{J} := z_0 \bar{z}_0 + \dots + z_n \bar{z}_n$  and we consider  $\langle\langle \{\mathcal{J} - \mu, \mu - \mathcal{J}\} \rangle\rangle$ ,  $\mu > 0$ .

In  $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_0, \dots, \bar{z}_n]^{U(1)} / \text{supp} \langle\langle \{\mathcal{J} - \mu, \mu - \mathcal{J}\} \rangle\rangle$ :

$$[z_i \bar{z}_j]^* [z_i \bar{z}_j] = [z_j \bar{z}_i z_i \bar{z}_j] \leq [z_j \mathcal{J} \bar{z}_j] = \mu [z_j \bar{z}_j] \leq \mu \mathcal{J} = \mu^2$$

So  $[z_i \bar{z}_j] \in (\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_0, \dots, \bar{z}_n]^{U(1)} / \text{supp} \langle\langle \{\mathcal{J} - \mu, \mu - \mathcal{J}\} \rangle\rangle)_{\text{bd}}$  for all  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ .

- Berezin quantization of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ : completely analogous.
- Leavitt path  $*$ -algebras:

$p_v^2 = p_v$  and  $s_e^* s_e = p_{r(e)}$ ,  $v \in E_0$ ,  $e \in E_1$ , enforce uniform boundedness.

$\rightsquigarrow$  The norm of the graph  $C^*$ -algebra is the uniform norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

# The Archimedean Positivstellensatz

## Schmüdgen [11]

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a uniformly bounded ordered  $*$ -algebra and  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h$ .

If  $\langle \phi | \pi(a)(\phi) \rangle > 0$  for all bounded positive  $*$ -representations  $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{H})$  and  $\phi \in \mathfrak{H} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$ .

## Proof (idea)

If  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h / \mathcal{A}_h^+$ , construct positive real linear functional  $\omega: \mathcal{A}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\omega(a) \leq 0$  (Hahn–Banach). Extend  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly and apply GNS-construction.



# The Archimedean Positivstellensatz

## Schmüdgen [11]

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a uniformly bounded ordered  $*$ -algebra and  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h$ .

If  $\langle \phi | \pi(a)(\phi) \rangle > 0$  for all bounded positive  $*$ -representations  $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{H})$  and  $\phi \in \mathfrak{H} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$ .

## Corollary (Schmüdgen's Positivstellensatz, part II)

Consider any finite set  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_h$  and assume  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is compact. Let  $G$  be the set of all finite products of  $g_1, \dots, g_k$ .

If  $p \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_h$  fulfils  $p(\xi) > 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{P}(G)$ , then  $p \in \langle\langle G \rangle\rangle$ .

## Corollary

Let  $G$  be a directed graph with finitely many vertices. Write  $L^*(G)$  for the Leavitt path  $*$ -algebra with its natural quadratic module  $\mathcal{Q}$  and let

$\iota: L^*(G) \rightarrow C^*(G)$  be the embedding in its graph  $C^*$ -algebra.

Consider  $a \in L^*(G)_h$ . If  $\text{spec}(\iota(a)) \in [\epsilon, \infty[$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ , then  $a \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

Closed ordered  $*$ -algebras

Grand unified problem is almost solved...

## Example

The unital subalgebra

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

of  $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$  with elementwise complex conjugation is a commutative  $*$ -algebra and

$$\mathcal{A}_h^{++} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } a > 0 \text{ or } a = b = 0 \right\}.$$

So  $\mathcal{A}$  becomes a uniformly bounded ordered  $*$ -algebra with  $\mathcal{A}_h^+ := \mathcal{A}_h^{++}$ .

- There are  $M \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \{0\}$  with  $M^2 = 0$  (namely if  $a = 0, b \neq 0$ ).
- Consequently  $\|M\|_\infty = 0$ , i.e.  $M \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{bd}}$ .
- Note also:  $\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & b \\ 0 & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$  with  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $b \neq 0$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_h^{++}$ , unlike its limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

## Closed ordered $*$ -algebras

### Definition

An ordered  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is (*integrally*) *closed* if the following holds:  
 Whenever  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_h$  fulfil  $a \leq \epsilon b$  for all  $\epsilon \in ]0, \infty[$ , then  $a \leq 0$ .

### Cimprič [1], Schmüdgen [10], ...; part II

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a closed ordered  $*$ -algebra, then  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is a  $C^*$ -norm on  $\mathcal{A}_{bd}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_{bd} = \{0\}$ .

### Corollary

The category of closed and uniformly bounded ordered  $*$ -algebras with positive unital  $*$ -homomorphisms between them is equivalent to the category of pre- $C^*$ -algebras ( $*$ -algebras with  $C^*$ -norm) and continuous unital  $*$ -homomorphisms between them.

### Corollary (Archimedean Positivstellensatz revisited)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a closed and uniformly bounded ordered  $*$ -algebra and  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h$ .  
 Then  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h^+$  if and only if  $\langle \phi | \pi(a)(\phi) \rangle \geq 0$  for all bounded positive  $*$ -representations  $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*(\mathfrak{H})$  and  $\phi \in \mathfrak{H}$ .

But how to choose generators of  $\mathcal{A}_h^+$  so that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed?

$\sigma$ -bounded ordered  $*$ -algebras

## Definition

An ordered  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called  $\sigma$ -bounded if there exists an increasing sequence  $(\hat{a}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_h^+$  that is cofinal, i.e. for all  $b \in \mathcal{A}_h$  there is some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $b \leq \hat{a}_n$ .

## Examples

- Every uniformly bounded ordered  $*$ -algebra is  $\sigma$ -bounded, choose  $\hat{a}_n := n\mathbb{1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- Every countably generated ordered  $*$ -algebra is  $\sigma$ -bounded, choose

$$\hat{a}_n := n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mathbb{1} + b_j^2}{2}$$

with  $b_1, b_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}_h$  a vector space basis of  $\mathcal{A}_h$ ; use  $\pm b_j \leq (\mathbb{1} + b_j^2)/2$ .

## An unbounded Gelfand–Naimark theorem

S. [12]

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -bounded closed ordered  $*$ -algebra, then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a faithful positive  $*$ -representation.

Proof

- GNS-construction yields  $*$ -representations from positive functionals.
- Hahn–Banach theorem yields positive functionals.
- How to construct the l.c. topology? Use  $\sigma$ -boundedness:

Given a cofinal sequence  $(\hat{a}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_h^+$  and a sequence  $(\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $]0, \infty[$ .  
 The union of order intervals

$$U_\delta := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ - \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \hat{a}_j, \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_j \hat{a}_j \right]$$

is an absorbing, balanced, and convex subset of  $\mathcal{A}_h$ , hence a 0-neighbourhood.

For any  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h \setminus \mathcal{A}_h^+$  there is  $U_\delta$  such that  $(a + U_\delta) \cap \mathcal{A}_h^+ = \emptyset$  (construct  $(\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  recursively using that  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed).

$\rightsquigarrow$  Commutative version also available, but more tricky...

## So which examples are closed ordered $*$ -algebras?

- Consider a finite set  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_h$ , let  $G$  be the set of all finite products of  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  and assume that  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  is compact. There are many examples in which  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \text{supp}\langle\langle G \rangle\rangle$  is a closed uniformly bounded ordered  $*$ -algebra with  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  having dimension 1 or 2, but not in higher dimensions (see Scheiderer [5], [6], [7]).
- In higher dimensions: Krivine–Stengle Positivstellensatz.
- Especially for  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  via symmetry reduction:  
 $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_0, \dots, \bar{z}_n]^{U(1)} / \text{supp}\langle\langle \{\mathcal{J} - \mu, \mu - \mathcal{J}\} \rangle\rangle$ ,  $\mu > 0$  is a closed uniformly bounded ordered  $*$ -algebra if and only if  $n = 1$ .
- But for the quantization of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$  we find (Schmitt, S. [8]):  
 $\mathcal{W}(n)^{U(1)} / \text{supp}\langle\langle \{\mathcal{J} - \mu, \mu - \mathcal{J}\} \rangle\rangle$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$  is a closed uniformly bounded ordered  $*$ -algebra for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  
 So  $\mathcal{W}(n)^{U(1)} / \text{supp}\langle\langle \{\mathcal{J} - \mu, \mu - \mathcal{J}\} \rangle\rangle \cong \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  for  $\mu/\hbar \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\mathcal{W}(n)^{U(1)} / \text{supp}\langle\langle \{\mathcal{J} - \mu, \mu - \mathcal{J}\} \rangle\rangle \cong \{0\}$  otherwise.
- And for Leavitt path  $*$ -algebras?

## So which Leavitt path $*$ -algebras give closed ordered $*$ -algebras?

- Of course, all complex matrix algebras.
- Fejér-Riesz theorem:  
 Pointwise positive complex polynomials on the circle are sums of squares.
- Matrix-valued Fejér-Riesz theorem:  
 Pointwise positive matrix-valued polynomials on the circle are sums of squares (Rosenblum [3]).
- Non-commutative Fejér-Riesz theorem (Savchuk, Schmüdgen [4]):  
 Let  $\mathcal{A} := \langle s, s^* \mid s^*s = 1 \rangle$  and let  $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^*(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0))$  be the  $*$ -representation given by the right shift  $\pi(s)$ . Consider  $a \in \mathcal{A}_h$  such that  $\pi(a)$  is positive semi-definite. Then there is  $b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $a = b^*b$ .
- $\rightsquigarrow$  Some examples are understood, but no general theory on par with the commutative case.

## References — Thank you for your attention!



J. Cimprič. A representation theorem for archimedean quadratic modules on  $*$ -rings.  
*Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 52(1):39–52, 2009.



A. Prestel and C. Delzell. *Positive polynomials: from Hilbert's 17th problem to real algebra*.  
Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.



M. Rosenblum. Vectorial Toeplitz operators and the Fejér-Riesz theorem.  
*Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 23(1):139–147, 1968.



Y. Savchuk and K. Schmüdgen. A noncommutative version of the Fejér-Riesz theorem.  
*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 138(4):1243–1248, 2010.



C. Scheiderer. Sums of squares of regular functions on real algebraic varieties.  
*Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 352(3):1039–1069, 2000.



C. Scheiderer. Sums of squares on real algebraic curves.  
*Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 245:725–760, 2003.



C. Scheiderer. Sums of squares on real algebraic surfaces.  
*manuscripta mathematica*, 119:395–410, 2006.



P. Schmitt and M. Schötz. Symmetry reduction of states II: A non-commutative positivstellensatz for  $cpn$ .  
*Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 649:326–353, 2022.



K. Schmüdgen and M. Schötz. Positivstellensätze for semirings.  
*ArXiv e-prints*, page 2207.02748, 2022.



K. Schmüdgen. A strict positivstellensatz for the Weyl algebra.  
*Mathematische Annalen*, 331(4):779–794, 2005.



K. Schmüdgen. *Noncommutative Real Algebraic Geometry Some Basic Concepts and First Ideas*.  
Emerging Applications of Algebraic Geometry, pages 325–350, Springer New York, New York, NY, 2009.



M. Schötz. Gelfand–Naimark theorems for ordered  $*$ -algebras.  
*Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, online first 2022.